

Intervi w Summary	Application No. 09/239,323	Applicant(s) JENKINS ET AL.	
	Examiner VINH P NGUYEN	Art Unit 2829	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) VINH P NGUYEN (3) _____

(2) James Howard (4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 May 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yamashita et al (pat # 5,506,516).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required
05/13/04

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner discussed with Mr. Howard about the rejection of claims 1-2 under 35 usc 102(b). According to Mr. Howard, Yamashita et al do not disclose a serpentine channels as shown in figure 10(b). Examiner agrees with Mr. Howard about this issue. However, there was no record indicated that Yamashita et al show a serpentine channel as shown in figure 10 (b). Examiner also agrees to withdraw the rejection of claims 1 and 10 under 35 UC 112 2nd paragraph based upon Mr. Howard's remarks about figure 10(b)...